Breaking news and analysis from the world of science policy



WIKIMEDIA

Blah? Traditional lecture classes have higher undergraduate failure rates than those using active learning techniques, new research finds.

Lectures Aren't Just Boring, They're Ineffective, Too, Study Finds



By Aleszu Bajak (/author/aleszu-bajak) 12 May 2014 3:00 pm 3 Comments (/education/2014/05/lectures-arent-just-boring-theyre-ineffective-too-study-finds#disgus_thread)

Are your lectures droning on? Change it up every 10 minutes with more active teaching techniques and more students will succeed, researchers say. A new study (http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1319030111) finds that undergraduate students in classes with traditional stand-and-deliver lectures are 1.5 times more likely to fail than students in classes that use more stimulating,

so-called active learning methods.

"Universities were founded in Western Europe in 1050 and lecturing has been the predominant form of teaching ever since," says biologist Scott Freeman of the University of Washington, Seattle. But many scholars have challenged the "sage on a stage" approach to teaching science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) courses, arguing that engaging students with questions or group activities is more effective.

To weigh the evidence, Freeman and a group of colleagues analyzed 225 studies of undergraduate STEM teaching methods. The meta-analysis, published online today in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, concluded that teaching approaches that turned students into active participants rather than passive listeners reduced failure rates and boosted scores on exams by almost one-half a standard deviation. (http://nsf.gov/news/news_summ.jsp? cntn_id=131403&org=NSF&from=news) "The change in the failure rates is whopping," Freeman says. And the exam improvement—about 6%—could, for example, "bump [a student's] grades from a B— to a B."

"This is a really important article—the impression I get is that it's almost unethical to be lecturing if you have this data," says Eric Mazur, a physicist at Harvard University who has campaigned against stale lecturing techniques for 27 years and was not involved in the work. "It's good to see such a cohesive picture emerge from their meta-analysis—an abundance of proof that lecturing is outmoded, outdated, and inefficient."

Although there is no single definition of active learning approaches, they include asking students to answer questions by using handheld clickers, calling on individuals or groups randomly, or having students clarify concepts to each other and reach a consensus on an issue.

Freeman says he's started using such techniques even in large classes. "My introductory biology course has gotten up to 700 students," he says. "For the ultimate class session—I don't say lecture—I'm showing PowerPoint slides, but everything is a question and I use clickers and random calling. Somebody droning on for 15 minutes at a time and then doing cookbook labs isn't interesting." Freeman estimates that scaling up such active learning approaches could enable success for tens of thousands of students who might otherwise drop or fail STEM courses.

Despite its advantages, active learning isn't likely to completely kill the lecture, says Noah Finkelstein, a physics professor who directs the Center for STEM Learning at the University of Colorado, Boulder, and was not involved in the study. The new study "is consistent with what the benefits of active learning are showing us," he says. "But I don't think there should be a monolithic stance about lecture or no lecture. There are still times when lectures will be needed, but the traditional mode of stand-and-deliver is being demonstrated as less effective at promoting student learning and preparing future teachers."

The current study didn't directly address the effectiveness of one new twist in the traditional lecturing format: massive open online courses that can beam talks to thousands or even millions of students. But Freeman says the U.S. Department of Education has conducted its own meta-analysis of distance learning, and it found there was no difference in being lectured at in a classroom versus through a computer screen at home. So, Freeman says: "If you're going to get lectured at, you might as well be at home in bunny slippers."

Posted in Education (/category/education)







guest173 · 4 months ago

Great article, very true, I've seen firsthand active learning is so much more effective, engaging, and fun.

1 ^ V · Reply · Share >



Woman_At_Large ⋅ 6 months ago

It's actually a much more feminine way to shift the power from that "lone expert ... sage-on-the-stage" modality (so many of us find it hard to break away from that habit) ... to one where we embrace the crowd-wisdom, acknowledge that we have important seeds we can sow but we need to have these activated by response and dialogue with our audience. Having an environment where EVERYBODY learns, even the expert/speaker is so very powerful for

Aharon Nitkin · 6 months ago Ive been saying this for years. Its time to e information is not teaching 3 ^ V · Reply · Share ›	do away with the traditional lecture. Just sharing
ALSO ON SCIENCENOW	WHAT'S THIS?
Best of images 2 comments • 4 days ago AAC — These are all great images, but I think a distinction ought to be made between real images and simulations or	Pros of incest outweigh cons for mongooses 3 comments • 4 days ago Person_1 — Could you please fix the link to the original article? It gives "access denied" and we can't even read the title
If we ever meet aliens, they'll probably be robots 12 comments • 8 days ago Philo Den — The most important consideration for traveling aliens is the vastness of space.Being so advanced	Half-male, half-female bird has a rough life 86 comments • 4 days ago Glen Arnold — It searches for food.
Subscribe Add Disqus to your site Pri	vacy

everyone in the room. Much more chance of learning, understanding, memory and

breakthrough by shifting the power from the stage alone.